Entanglement and secret-key-agreement capacities of bipartite quantum interactions and read-only memory devices

Siddhartha Das^{1*} Stefan Bäuml² Mark M. Wilde¹

¹Louisiana State University, USA

²Delft University of Technology, Netherlands & NTT Japan

*sdas21@lsu.edu

arXiv:1712.00827

8th International Conference on Quantum Cryptography, Shanghai, China

Bipartite unitary interactions are the most elementary many-body interactions. Due to unavoidable interaction with environment, study of bipartite noisy interactions is pertinent.



Figure: Systems of interest A' and B' interacting in presence of the bath E'.

- U is unitary transformation corresponding to underlying interaction Hamiltonian Ĥ among A', B', E'.
- Before action of interaction Hamiltonian \hat{H} : $\omega_{A'B'} \otimes \tau_{E'}$, where bath E' is in some fixed state and uncorrelated to A'B'.
- After action of \hat{H} :

 $\rho_{ABE} := \mathcal{U}_{A'B'E' \to ABE}(\omega_{A'B'} \otimes \tau_{E'}).$

Bipartite unitary interactions are the most elementary many-body interactions. Due to unavoidable interaction with environment, study of bipartite noisy interactions is pertinent.



Figure: Systems of interest A' and B' interacting in presence of the bath E'.

- *U* is unitary transformation corresponding to underlying *interaction Hamiltonian* Ĥ among *A'*, *B'*, *E'*.
- Before action of interaction Hamiltonian \hat{H} : $\omega_{A'B'} \otimes \tau_{E'}$, where bath E' is in some fixed state and uncorrelated to A'B'.
- After action of \hat{H} :

 $\rho_{ABE} := \mathcal{U}_{A'B'E' \to ABE}(\omega_{A'B'} \otimes \tau_{E'}).$

Bipartite unitary interactions are the most elementary many-body interactions. Due to unavoidable interaction with environment, study of bipartite noisy interactions is pertinent.



Figure: Systems of interest A' and B' interacting in presence of the bath E'.

- *U* is unitary transformation corresponding to underlying *interaction Hamiltonian* Ĥ among *A'*, *B'*, *E'*.
- Before action of interaction Hamiltonian \hat{H} : $\omega_{A'B'} \otimes \tau_{E'}$, where bath E' is in some fixed state and uncorrelated to A'B'.
- After action of \hat{H} :

 $\rho_{ABE} := \mathcal{U}_{A'B'E' \to ABE}(\omega_{A'B'} \otimes \tau_{E'})$

Bipartite unitary interactions are the most elementary many-body interactions. Due to unavoidable interaction with environment, study of bipartite noisy interactions is pertinent.



Figure: Systems of interest A' and B' interacting in presence of the bath E'.

- *U* is unitary transformation corresponding to underlying *interaction Hamiltonian* Ĥ among *A'*, *B'*, *E'*.
- Before action of interaction Hamiltonian \hat{H} : $\omega_{A'B'} \otimes \tau_{E'}$, where bath E' is in some fixed state and uncorrelated to A'B'.
- After action of \hat{H} :

 $\rho_{ABE} := \mathcal{U}_{A'B'E' \to ABE}(\omega_{A'B'} \otimes \tau_{E'}).$

Bidirectional quantum channel

A bipartite quantum channel $\mathcal{N}_{A'B' \to AB}$ is a completely positive, trace-preserving map that transforms composite system A'B' to AB.



Figure: Two parties of interest: Alice holds A', A and Bob holds B', B.

- When A', A are held by Alice and B', B are held by Bob, bipartite channel N is called bidirectional channel.
- It corresponds to noisy bipartite interaction, when bath is inaccessible.
- For all input state $\omega_{A'B'}$:

 $\mathcal{N}(\omega_{A'B'}) = \rho_{AB}, \text{ where}$ $\rho_{AB} := \operatorname{Tr}_{E} \{ \mathcal{U}_{A'B'E' \to ABE}(\omega_{A'B'} \otimes \tau_{E'}) \}$

when initial state $\tau_{E'}$ of bath is fixed.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

Bidirectional quantum channel

A bipartite quantum channel $\mathcal{N}_{A'B' \to AB}$ is a completely positive, trace-preserving map that transforms composite system A'B' to AB.



Figure: Two parties of interest: Alice holds A', A and Bob holds B', B.

- When A', A are held by Alice and B', B are held by Bob, bipartite channel N is called bidirectional channel.
- It corresponds to noisy bipartite interaction, when bath is inaccessible.

• For all input state $\omega_{A'B'}$:

 $\mathcal{N}(\omega_{A'B'}) = \rho_{AB}, \text{ where} \\ \rho_{AB} := \operatorname{Tr}_{E} \{ \mathcal{U}_{A'B'E' \to ABE}(\omega_{A'B'} \otimes \tau_{E'}) \}$

when initial state $au_{E'}$ of bath is fixed.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

Bidirectional quantum channel

A bipartite quantum channel $\mathcal{N}_{A'B' \to AB}$ is a completely positive, trace-preserving map that transforms composite system A'B' to AB.



Figure: Two parties of interest: Alice holds A', A and Bob holds B', B.

- When A', A are held by Alice and B', B are held by Bob, bipartite channel N is called bidirectional channel.
- It corresponds to noisy bipartite interaction, when bath is inaccessible.
- For all input state $\omega_{A'B'}$:

 $\mathcal{N}(\omega_{A'B'}) = \rho_{AB}, \text{ where}$ $\rho_{AB} := \operatorname{Tr}_{E} \{ \mathcal{U}_{A'B'E' \to ABE}(\omega_{A'B'} \otimes \tau_{E'}) \},$

when initial state $\tau_{E'}$ of bath is fixed.

ヘロマ ヘ動マ ヘヨマ ヘロマ

Bidirectional channels:

- Simple model of quantum network with 2 clients, Alice and Bob.
- Model for quantum gates CNOT, SWAP, etc.– in noisy intermediate-scale quantum (NISQ) computers.

Entanglement may increase, decrease or not change due to bipartite quantum interactions.

Entanglement distillation: Maximally entangled states are useful resource for several information processing tasks: quantum key distribution, quantum teleportation, etc.

Bidirectional channels:

- Simple model of quantum network with 2 clients, Alice and Bob.
- Model for quantum gates CNOT, SWAP, etc.– in noisy intermediate-scale quantum (NISQ) computers.

Entanglement may increase, decrease or not change due to bipartite quantum interactions.

Entanglement distillation: Maximally entangled states are useful resource for several information processing tasks: quantum key distribution, quantum teleportation, etc.

Bidirectional channels:

- Simple model of quantum network with 2 clients, Alice and Bob.
- Model for quantum gates CNOT, SWAP, etc.– in noisy intermediate-scale quantum (NISQ) computers.

Entanglement may increase, decrease or not change due to bipartite quantum interactions.

Entanglement distillation: Maximally entangled states are useful resource for several information processing tasks: quantum key distribution, quantum teleportation, etc.

Bidirectional channels:

- Simple model of quantum network with 2 clients, Alice and Bob.
- Model for quantum gates CNOT, SWAP, etc.– in noisy intermediate-scale quantum (NISQ) computers.

Entanglement may increase, decrease or not change due to bipartite quantum interactions.

Entanglement distillation: Maximally entangled states are useful resource for several information processing tasks: quantum key distribution, quantum teleportation, etc.

Bidirectional channels:

- Simple model of quantum network with 2 clients, Alice and Bob.
- Model for quantum gates CNOT, SWAP, etc.– in noisy intermediate-scale quantum (NISQ) computers.

Entanglement may increase, decrease or not change due to bipartite quantum interactions.

Entanglement distillation: Maximally entangled states are useful resource for several information processing tasks: quantum key distribution, quantum teleportation, etc.

• Two different information-processing tasks relevant for bipartite quantum interactions:

- Intanglement distillation: generation of singlet state from two separated systems.
- Secret key agreement: generation of maximal classical correlation between two separated systems, such that there's no correlation with the bath.
- New secure communication protocol between two parties, called private reading.
- Non-asymptotic capacity of a channel \mathcal{N} for a task: Maximum rate at which a given task can be accomplished by allowing the use of \mathcal{N} a finite number of times.

- Two different information-processing tasks relevant for bipartite quantum interactions:
 - **(** Entanglement distillation: generation of singlet state from two separated systems.
 - Secret key agreement: generation of maximal classical correlation between two separated systems, such that there's no correlation with the bath.
- New secure communication protocol between two parties, called private reading.
- Non-asymptotic capacity of a channel \mathcal{N} for a task: Maximum rate at which a given task can be accomplished by allowing the use of \mathcal{N} a finite number of times.

- Two different information-processing tasks relevant for bipartite quantum interactions:
 - **(** Entanglement distillation: generation of singlet state from two separated systems.
 - Secret key agreement: generation of maximal classical correlation between two separated systems, such that there's no correlation with the bath.
- New secure communication protocol between two parties, called private reading.

Non-asymptotic capacity of a channel N for a task: Maximum rate at which a given task can be accomplished by allowing the use of N a finite number of times.

- Two different information-processing tasks relevant for bipartite quantum interactions:
 - **(** Entanglement distillation: generation of singlet state from two separated systems.
 - Secret key agreement: generation of maximal classical correlation between two separated systems, such that there's no correlation with the bath.
- New secure communication protocol between two parties, called private reading.

Non-asymptotic capacity of a channel ${\cal N}$ for a task: Maximum rate at which a given task can be accomplished by allowing the use of ${\cal N}$ a finite number of times.

- Two different information-processing tasks relevant for bipartite quantum interactions:
 - **(** Entanglement distillation: generation of singlet state from two separated systems.
 - Secret key agreement: generation of maximal classical correlation between two separated systems, such that there's no correlation with the bath.
- New secure communication protocol between two parties, called private reading.

Non-asymptotic capacity of a channel \mathcal{N} for a task: Maximum rate at which a given task can be accomplished by allowing the use of \mathcal{N} a finite number of times.



LOCC-assisted bidirectional secret-key-agreement capacity [P^{2→2}_{LOCC}(N_{A'B'→AB}).]
 Bidirectional max-relative entropy of entanglement:

$$E_{\max}^{2\to 2}(\mathcal{N}_{A'B'\to AB}) = \sup_{\psi_{S_AA'}\otimes\varphi_{B'S_B}} E_{\max}(S_AA; BS_B)_{\mathcal{N}(\psi\otimes\varphi)},$$

where $\psi_{S_AA'}, \varphi_{B'S_B}$ are pure states, $S_A \simeq A', S_B \simeq B'$, $E_{\max}(A:B)_{\rho} = \min_{\sigma_{AB}\in SEP} D_{\max}(\rho \| \sigma)$, such that $D_{\max}(\rho \| \sigma) = \inf\{\lambda : \rho \leq 2^{\lambda}\sigma\}$.



• LOCC-assisted bidirectional secret-key-agreement capacity $[\mathcal{P}^{2\rightarrow 2}_{LOCC}(\mathcal{N}_{A'B'\rightarrow AB})]$

• Bidirectional max-relative entropy of entanglement:

$$E_{\max}^{2\to 2}(\mathcal{N}_{A'B'\to AB}) = \sup_{\psi_{S_AA'}\otimes\varphi_{B'S_B}} E_{\max}(S_AA; BS_B)_{\mathcal{N}(\psi\otimes\varphi)},$$

where $\psi_{S_AA'}, \varphi_{B'S_B}$ are pure states, $S_A \simeq A', S_B \simeq B'$, $E_{\max}(A:B)_{\rho} = \min_{\sigma_{AB} \in SEP} D_{\max}(\rho \| \sigma)$, such that $D_{\max}(\rho \| \sigma) = \inf\{\lambda : \rho \leq 2^{\lambda}\sigma\}$.



- LOCC-assisted bidirectional secret-key-agreement capacity $[\mathcal{P}^{2\rightarrow 2}_{LOCC}(\mathcal{N}_{A'B'\rightarrow AB})]$
- Bidirectional max-relative entropy of entanglement:

$$E_{\max}^{2\to 2}(\mathcal{N}_{A'B'\to AB}) = \sup_{\psi_{S_AA'}\otimes\varphi_{B'S_B}} E_{\max}(S_AA; BS_B)_{\mathcal{N}(\psi\otimes\varphi)},$$

where $\psi_{S_AA'}, \varphi_{B'S_B}$ are pure states, $S_A \simeq A', S_B \simeq B'$, $E_{\max}(A:B)_{\rho} = \min_{\sigma_{AB}\in SEP} D_{\max}(\rho \| \sigma)$, such that $D_{\max}(\rho \| \sigma) = \inf\{\lambda : \rho \leq 2^{\lambda}\sigma\}$.



Theorem (LOCC-assisted secret key agreement)

$$rac{1}{n}\log_2 M \leq E_{\max}^{2
ightarrow 2}(\mathcal{N}) + rac{1}{n}\log_2igg(rac{1}{1-arepsilon}igg)\,.$$

 P^{2→2}_{LOCC}(N_{A'B'→AB}) ≤ E^{2→2}_{max}(N_{A'B'→AB}), and this upper bound is in fact a strong converse bound.



Theorem (LOCC-assisted secret key agreement)

$$rac{1}{n}\log_2 M \leq E^{2
ightarrow 2}_{\mathsf{max}}(\mathcal{N}) + rac{1}{n}\log_2\!\left(rac{1}{1-arepsilon}
ight).$$

 P^{2→2}_{LOCC}(N_{A'B'→AB}) ≤ E^{2→2}_{max}(N_{A'B'→AB}), and this upper bound is in fact a strong converse bound.



Theorem (LOCC-assisted secret key agreement)

$$rac{1}{n}\log_2 M \leq extsf{E}_{\mathsf{max}}^{2 o 2}(\mathcal{N}) + rac{1}{n}\log_2\!\left(rac{1}{1-arepsilon}
ight).$$

P^{2→2}_{LOCC}(N_{A'B'→AB}) ≤ E^{2→2}_{max}(N_{A'B'→AB}), and this upper bound is in fact a strong converse bound.



- Private states [HHHO05,HHHO09]: State $\gamma_{S_A K_A: K_B S_B}$ containing $\log_2 K$ private bits.
- Success probability in privacy test: Tr { $\Pi^{\gamma}\omega$ } $\geq 1 \varepsilon$. By [HHHO05,HHHO09], Tr { $\Pi^{\gamma}\sigma$ } $\leq \frac{1}{K}$ for $\sigma \in$ SEP.
- Main observation: $E_{\max}^{2 \to 2}$ is not enhanced by amortization.

$$E_{\max}(S_A A; BS_B)_{\sigma} \leq E_{\max}(S_A A'; B'S_B)_{\rho} + E_{\max}^{2 \to 2}(\mathcal{N}_{A'B' \to AB}),$$



- Private states [HHHO05,HHHO09]: State $\gamma_{S_A K_A: K_B S_B}$ containing $\log_2 K$ private bits.
- Success probability in privacy test: Tr { $\Pi^{\gamma}\omega$ } $\geq 1 \varepsilon$. By [HHHO05,HHHO09], Tr { $\Pi^{\gamma}\sigma$ } $\leq \frac{1}{K}$ for $\sigma \in$ SEP.

• Main observation: $E_{\max}^{2 \to 2}$ is not enhanced by amortization.

 $E_{\max}(S_A A; BS_B)_{\sigma} \leq E_{\max}(S_A A'; B'S_B)_{\rho} + E_{\max}^{2 \to 2}(\mathcal{N}_{A'B' \to AB}),$



- Private states [HHHO05,HHHO09]: State $\gamma_{S_A K_A: K_B S_B}$ containing $\log_2 K$ private bits.
- Success probability in privacy test: Tr { $\Pi^{\gamma}\omega$ } $\geq 1 \varepsilon$. By [HHHO05,HHHO09], Tr { $\Pi^{\gamma}\sigma$ } $\leq \frac{1}{K}$ for $\sigma \in$ SEP.
- Main observation: $E_{\max}^{2 \to 2}$ is not enhanced by amortization.

$$E_{\max}(S_AA;BS_B)_{\sigma} \leq E_{\max}(S_AA';B'S_B)_{
ho} + E^{2
ightarrow 2}_{\max}(\mathcal{N}_{A'B'
ightarrow AB}),$$



- Private states [HHHO05,HHHO09]: State $\gamma_{S_A K_A: K_B S_B}$ containing $\log_2 K$ private bits.
- Success probability in privacy test: Tr { $\Pi^{\gamma}\omega$ } $\geq 1 \varepsilon$. By [HHHO05,HHHO09], Tr { $\Pi^{\gamma}\sigma$ } $\leq \frac{1}{K}$ for $\sigma \in$ SEP.
- Main observation: $E_{\max}^{2 \to 2}$ is not enhanced by amortization.

$$E_{\max}(S_AA;BS_B)_{\sigma} \leq E_{\max}(S_AA';B'S_B)_{
ho} + E^{2
ightarrow 2}_{\max}(\mathcal{N}_{A'B'
ightarrow AB}),$$



• PPT-assisted bidirectional quantum capacity $[\mathcal{Q}_{PPT}^{2\rightarrow2}(\mathcal{N}_{A'B'\rightarrow AB})]$

• Bidirectional max-Rains Information $[R^{2\to 2}_{\max}(\mathcal{N}_{A'B'\to AB}) = \log \Gamma^{2\to 2}(\mathcal{N}_{A'B'\to AB})]$, where

$$\begin{split} \Gamma^{2 \to 2}(\mathcal{N}_{A'B' \to AB}) &= \text{minimize} \quad \|\text{Tr}_{AB}\{V_{S_AABS_B} + Y_{S_AABS_B}\}\|_{\infty} \\ &\text{subject to} \quad V_{S_AABS_B}, Y_{S_AABS_B} \geq 0, \\ &T_{BS_B}\{V_{S_AABS_B} - Y_{S_AABS_B}\} \geq J_{S_AABS_B}^{\mathcal{N}} \} \end{split}$$

such that $S_A \simeq A', S_B \simeq B'$.



- PPT-assisted bidirectional quantum capacity $[\mathcal{Q}_{PPT}^{2\rightarrow2}(\mathcal{N}_{A'B'\rightarrow AB})]$
- Bidirectional max-Rains Information $[R^{2\to 2}_{max}(\mathcal{N}_{A'B'\to AB}) = \log \Gamma^{2\to 2}(\mathcal{N}_{A'B'\to AB})]$, where

$$\begin{split} \Gamma^{2 \to 2}(\mathcal{N}_{A'B' \to AB}) &= \text{minimize} \quad \|\mathsf{Tr}_{AB}\{V_{S_A ABS_B} + Y_{S_A ABS_B}\}\|_{\infty} \\ &\text{subject to} \quad V_{S_A ABS_B}, Y_{S_A ABS_B} \geq 0, \\ &T_{BS_B}\{V_{S_A ABS_B} - Y_{S_A ABS_B}\} \geq J^{\mathcal{N}}_{S_A ABS_B}. \end{split}$$

such that $S_A \simeq A', S_B \simeq B'$.



- PPT-assisted bidirectional quantum capacity $[\mathcal{Q}_{PPT}^{2\rightarrow 2}(\mathcal{N}_{A'B'\rightarrow AB}).]$
- Bidirectional max-Rains Information $[R^{2\rightarrow 2}_{max}(\mathcal{N}_{A'B'\rightarrow AB}) = \log \Gamma^{2\rightarrow 2}(\mathcal{N}_{A'B'\rightarrow AB})]$, where

$$\begin{split} \Gamma^{2 \to 2}(\mathcal{N}_{A'B' \to AB}) &= \text{minimize} \quad \|\mathsf{Tr}_{AB}\{V_{S_A ABS_B} + Y_{S_A ABS_B}\}\|_{\infty} \\ &\text{subject to} \quad V_{S_A ABS_B}, Y_{S_A ABS_B} \geq 0, \\ &T_{BS_B}\{V_{S_A ABS_B} - Y_{S_A ABS_B}\} \geq J_{S_A ABS_B}^{\mathcal{N}} \end{split}$$

such that $S_A \simeq A', \ S_B \simeq B'$.



- PPT-assisted bidirectional quantum capacity $[\mathcal{Q}_{PPT}^{2\rightarrow 2}(\mathcal{N}_{A'B'\rightarrow AB}).]$
- Bidirectional max-Rains Information $[R^{2\rightarrow 2}_{max}(\mathcal{N}_{A'B'\rightarrow AB}) = \log \Gamma^{2\rightarrow 2}(\mathcal{N}_{A'B'\rightarrow AB})]$, where

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{\Gamma}^{2\to2}(\mathcal{N}_{A'B'\to AB}) &= \mathsf{minimize} \quad \|\mathsf{Tr}_{AB}\{V_{S_AABS_B} + Y_{S_AABS_B}\}\|_{\infty} \\ &\quad \mathsf{subject to} \quad V_{S_AABS_B}, Y_{S_AABS_B} \geq 0, \\ &\quad \mathcal{T}_{BS_B}\{V_{S_AABS_B} - Y_{S_AABS_B}\} \geq J_{S_AABS_B}^{\mathcal{N}}, \end{split}$$

such that $S_A \simeq A', \ S_B \simeq B'.$



Theorem (PPT-assisted distillable entanglement generation)

$$rac{1}{n}\log_2 M \leq R^{2
ightarrow 2}_{\max}(\mathcal{N}) + rac{1}{n}\log_2\left(rac{1}{1-arepsilon}
ight).$$

• $Q_{PPT}^{2 \to 2}(\mathcal{N}_{A'B' \to AB}) \leq R_{\max}^{2 \to 2}(\mathcal{N}_{A'B' \to AB})$, and this upper bound is in fact a strong converse bound.



Theorem (PPT-assisted distillable entanglement generation)

$$rac{1}{n}\log_2 M \leq R^{2
ightarrow 2}_{\mathsf{max}}(\mathcal{N}) + rac{1}{n}\log_2\!\left(rac{1}{1-arepsilon}
ight).$$

Q^{2→2}_{PPT}(N_{A'B'→AB}) ≤ R^{2→2}_{max}(N_{A'B'→AB}), and this upper bound is in fact a strong converse bound.



Theorem (PPT-assisted distillable entanglement generation)

$$rac{1}{n}\log_2 M \leq R^{2
ightarrow 2}_{\mathsf{max}}(\mathcal{N}) + rac{1}{n}\log_2\!\left(rac{1}{1-arepsilon}
ight).$$

Q^{2→2}_{PPT}(N_{A'B'→AB}) ≤ R^{2→2}_{max}(N_{A'B'→AB}), and this upper bound is in fact a strong converse bound.

- First recall: (Quantum) Reading [BRV00,Pir11] of memory devices.
- Memory device: message encoded into a sequence of channels from a memory cell $S_{\mathcal{X}} = \{\mathcal{N}_{B' \to B}^{\mathsf{x}}\}_{\mathsf{x} \in \mathcal{X}}$.
- Alice encodes $m \in \mathcal{M}$ into codewords $(x_1(m), ..., x_n(m))$, and sets the device to $\left(\mathcal{N}_{B' \to B}^{x_1(m)}, ..., \mathcal{N}_{B' \to B}^{x_n(m)}\right)$.
- Bob can enter quantum states and do channel discrimination to learn *m*. Natural to employ adaptive strategy [DW17].



- First recall: (Quantum) Reading [BRV00,Pir11] of memory devices.
- Memory device: message encoded into a sequence of channels from a memory cell $S_{\mathcal{X}} = \{\mathcal{N}_{B' \to B}^{x}\}_{x \in \mathcal{X}}$.
- Alice encodes $m \in \mathcal{M}$ into codewords $(x_1(m), ..., x_n(m))$, and sets the device to $\left(\mathcal{N}_{B' \to B}^{x_1(m)}, ..., \mathcal{N}_{B' \to B}^{x_n(m)}\right)$.
- Bob can enter quantum states and do channel discrimination to learn *m*. Natural to employ adaptive strategy [DW17].



- First recall: (Quantum) Reading [BRV00,Pir11] of memory devices.
- Memory device: message encoded into a sequence of channels from a memory cell $S_{\mathcal{X}} = \{\mathcal{N}_{B' \to B}^{x}\}_{x \in \mathcal{X}}$.
- Alice encodes $m \in \mathcal{M}$ into codewords $(x_1(m), ..., x_n(m))$, and sets the device to $\left(\mathcal{N}_{B' \to B}^{x_1(m)}, ..., \mathcal{N}_{B' \to B}^{x_n(m)}\right)$.
- Bob can enter quantum states and do channel discrimination to learn *m*. Natural to employ adaptive strategy [DW17].



- First recall: (Quantum) Reading [BRV00,Pir11] of memory devices.
- Memory device: message encoded into a sequence of channels from a memory cell $S_{\mathcal{X}} = \{\mathcal{N}_{B' \to B}^{x}\}_{x \in \mathcal{X}}$.
- Alice encodes $m \in \mathcal{M}$ into codewords $(x_1(m), ..., x_n(m))$, and sets the device to $\left(\mathcal{N}_{B' \to B}^{x_1(m)}, ..., \mathcal{N}_{B' \to B}^{x_n(m)}\right)$.
- Bob can enter quantum states and do channel discrimination to learn *m*. Natural to employ adaptive strategy [DW17].



Siddhartha Das (QST@LSU)



- Private reading: Eve present when Bob performs the readout: Wiretap memory cell $\bar{S}_{\mathcal{X}} = \{\mathcal{M}_{B' \to BE}^{\mathsf{x}}\}_{\mathsf{x} \in \mathcal{X}}$. Special case: Isometric wiretap memory cell $\bar{S}_{X}^{\mathsf{iso}}$.
- The non-adaptive private reading capacity of a wiretap memory cell $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is given by

$$P_{n-a}^{\text{read}}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{X}}\right) = \sup_{n} \max_{P_{X^n}, \sigma_{L_B B'^n}} \frac{1}{n} \left[I(X^n; L_B B^n)_{\tau} - I(X^n; E^n)_{\tau} \right],$$



- Private reading: Eve present when Bob performs the readout: Wiretap memory cell $\bar{S}_{\mathcal{X}} = \{\mathcal{M}_{B' \to BE}^{\mathsf{x}}\}_{\mathsf{x} \in \mathcal{X}}$. Special case: Isometric wiretap memory cell $\bar{S}_{X}^{\mathsf{iso}}$.
- \bullet The non-adaptive private reading capacity of a wiretap memory cell $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is given by

$$P_{n-a}^{\text{read}}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{X}}\right) = \sup_{n} \max_{P_{X^n}, \sigma_{L_B B'^n}} \frac{1}{n} \left[I(X^n; L_B B^n)_{\tau} - I(X^n; E^n)_{\tau} \right],$$



- Private reading: Eve present when Bob performs the readout: Wiretap memory cell $\bar{S}_{\mathcal{X}} = \{\mathcal{M}_{B' \to BE}^{\mathsf{x}}\}_{\mathsf{x} \in \mathcal{X}}$. Special case: Isometric wiretap memory cell $\bar{S}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathsf{iso}}$.
- The non-adaptive private reading capacity of a wiretap memory cell $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is given by

$$P_{n-a}^{\text{read}}\left(\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{X}}\right) = \sup_{n} \max_{P \times n, \sigma_{L_{B}B'^{n}}} \frac{1}{n} \left[I(X^{n}; L_{B}B^{n})_{\tau} - I(X^{n}; E^{n})_{\tau} \right],$$



• Private reading: Eve present when Bob performs the readout: Wiretap memory cell $\bar{S}_{\mathcal{X}} = \{\mathcal{M}_{B' \to BE}^{\mathsf{x}}\}_{\mathsf{x} \in \mathcal{X}}$. Special case: Isometric wiretap memory cell $\bar{S}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathsf{iso}}$.

• The non-adaptive private reading capacity of a wiretap memory cell $\bar{S}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is given by

$$P_{n-a}^{\text{read}}\left(\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{X}}\right) = \sup_{n} \max_{P \times n, \sigma_{L_{B}B'^{n}}} \frac{1}{n} \left[I(X^{n}; L_{B}B^{n})_{\tau} - I(X^{n}; E^{n})_{\tau} \right],$$



• Private reading: Eve present when Bob performs the readout: Wiretap memory cell $\bar{S}_{\mathcal{X}} = \{\mathcal{M}_{B' \to BE}^{\mathsf{x}}\}_{\mathsf{x} \in \mathcal{X}}$. Special case: Isometric wiretap memory cell $\bar{S}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathsf{iso}}$.

• The non-adaptive private reading capacity of a wiretap memory cell $\bar{S}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is given by

$$P_{n-a}^{\text{read}}\left(\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{X}}\right) = \sup_{n} \max_{P \times n, \sigma_{L_B} B'^n} \frac{1}{n} \left[I(X^n; L_B B^n)_{\tau} - I(X^n; E^n)_{\tau} \right],$$



- Private reading: Eve present when Bob performs the readout: Wiretap memory cell $\bar{S}_{\mathcal{X}} = \{\mathcal{M}_{B' \to BE}^{\mathsf{x}}\}_{\mathsf{x} \in \mathcal{X}}$. Special case: Isometric wiretap memory cell $\bar{S}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathsf{iso}}$.
- The non-adaptive private reading capacity of a wiretap memory cell $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is given by

$$P_{n-a}^{\text{read}}\left(\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{X}}\right) = \sup_{n} \max_{P_{X^n}, \sigma_{L_BB'^n}} \frac{1}{n} \left[I(X^n; L_BB^n)_{\tau} - I(X^n; E^n)_{\tau} \right],$$

Private reading capacity

The strong converse private reading capacity $\tilde{P}^{\text{read}}(\bar{S}^{\text{iso}}_{\mathcal{X}})$ of an isometric wiretap memory cell $\bar{S}^{\text{iso}}_{\mathcal{X}} = \{\mathcal{U}^{\mathcal{M}^{x}}_{B' \to BE}\}_{x \in \mathcal{X}}$ is bounded from above as

$$\widetilde{P}^{\mathsf{read}}(ar{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathsf{iso}}_{\mathcal{X}}) \leq E^{2 o 2}_{\mathsf{max}}(\mathcal{N}^{ar{\mathcal{S}}}_{XB' o XB}),$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}_{XB'\to XB}^{\bar{\mathcal{S}}}(\cdot) := \mathsf{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}}\left\{U_{XB'\to XBE}^{\bar{\mathcal{S}}}(\cdot)\left(U_{XB'\to XBE}^{\bar{\mathcal{S}}}\right)^{\dagger}\right\},$$

such that

$$U_{XB'\to XBE}^{\bar{\mathcal{S}}} := \sum_{x\in\mathcal{X}} |x\rangle\langle x|_X \otimes U_{B'\to BE}^{\mathcal{M}^x}.$$

- We derived upper bounds on entanglement generation and secret-key-agreement capacities over bidirectional channels. Sizes of reference systems are same as size of input systems (Open question in [BHLS03]).
- Obtain tighter upper bounds for channels obeying certain symmetries, see [DBW17].
- Introduced secure protocol for reading of memory devices under scrutiny of an eavesdropper. Both upper and lower bounds for this protocol can be found in [DBW17].

[DBW17] arXiv : 1712.00827

- We derived upper bounds on entanglement generation and secret-key-agreement capacities over bidirectional channels. Sizes of reference systems are same as size of input systems (Open question in [BHLS03]).
- Obtain tighter upper bounds for channels obeying certain symmetries, see [DBW17].
- Introduced secure protocol for reading of memory devices under scrutiny of an eavesdropper. Both upper and lower bounds for this protocol can be found in [DBW17].
 [DBW17] arXiv : 1712.00827

- We derived upper bounds on entanglement generation and secret-key-agreement capacities over bidirectional channels. Sizes of reference systems are same as size of input systems (Open question in [BHLS03]).
- Obtain tighter upper bounds for channels obeying certain symmetries, see [DBW17].
- Introduced secure protocol for reading of memory devices under scrutiny of an eavesdropper. Both upper and lower bounds for this protocol can be found in [DBW17].

[DBW17] arXiv : 1712.00827

- We derived upper bounds on entanglement generation and secret-key-agreement capacities over bidirectional channels. Sizes of reference systems are same as size of input systems (Open question in [BHLS03]).
- Obtain tighter upper bounds for channels obeying certain symmetries, see [DBW17].
- Introduced secure protocol for reading of memory devices under scrutiny of an eavesdropper. Both upper and lower bounds for this protocol can be found in [DBW17].

[DBW17] arXiv : 1712.00827

SWAP and Collective dephasing



- Collective dephasing: $|00\rangle \rightarrow |00\rangle$, $|01\rangle \rightarrow e^{i\phi}|01\rangle$, $|10\rangle \rightarrow e^{i\phi}|10\rangle$, $|11\rangle \rightarrow e^{2i\phi}|11\rangle$.
- Swap operator $S = \sum_{ij} |ij\rangle\langle ji|$ and collective dephasing:

 $\mathcal{N}_{A'B'\to AB}(\rho)$ =pS\rho S^{\dagger} + (1-p)U^{\phi}S \rho S^{\dagger}U^{\phi\dagger}

Siddhartha Das (QST@LSU)

• • • • • • • •

SWAP and Collective dephasing



- Collective dephasing: $|00\rangle \rightarrow |00\rangle$, $|01\rangle \rightarrow e^{i\phi}|01\rangle$, $|10\rangle \rightarrow e^{i\phi}|10\rangle$, $|11\rangle \rightarrow e^{2i\phi}|11\rangle$.
- Swap operator $S = \sum_{ij} |ij\rangle\langle ji|$ and collective dephasing:

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{A'B' o AB}(
ho) \ =& p S
ho S^{\dagger} + (1-p) U^{\phi} S
ho S^{\dagger} U^{\phi \dagger} \end{aligned}$$

Siddhartha Das (QST@LSU)

QCrypt '18 16 / 16